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Appendix E

DEPARTURES FROM STANDARD


| Scheme | Reference | Das ID | Rev | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Depature } \\ \text { Type }}}^{\text {der }}$ | Sand |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\substack{\text { Qualiative } \\ \text { Impact } \\ \text { Ras }}}$ | das saus |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date em } \\ \text { Pases } \\ \text { Nosed } \\ \text { Neterev } \end{gathered}$ | Departur Descripion | Comment | Potential cost Saying bi implementition or | Basis of the cost savings, Cost RAG, Qualitative RAG, and Deadline date | Ond | andes atast | sta | (oate reave | AOP Cert Pe |  | ${ }_{\text {anden }}^{\substack{\text { Appoped } \\ \text { Daied }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departures - Option 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PP.14001 |  |  | Geomety |  | к | R |  | 31012018 |  |  | TD22/06 Para 4.36 desirable minimum weaving length is 1 km . | A34 SB Link (Design Speed 100Akph, Mandatory Speed Limit as existing 50mph): The weaving length between the A33 merge onto the A34 SB Link (chainage 20) and the A34 SB Junction 9 Link diverge (chainage 640) is 620 m . This is below the desirable minimum (1km) and therefore a departure. |  |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EP.140002 |  |  | Geomety |  | - | п |  | 31012018 |  |  |  |  | A34 SB Link (Design Speed 100Akph, Mandatory Speed Limit as existing 50mph) No cost saving associated with departure. |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EP.40003 |  |  | Geomety |  | © | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ |  | 310112018 |  |  |  |  | A34 SB Link (Design Speed 100Akph Mandatory Speed Limit as existing $\mathbf{5 0 m p h}$ ): ated with departure |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | P. 140004 |  |  | Geomety | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { pogen } \\ \text { Pana } \\ \text { Pata } 22} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | к |  | 310112018 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EP.140005 |  |  | Geomety |  | \& | ${ }^{\text {ィ }}$ |  | 31012018 |  |  |  | A34 NB Link (Design Speed 120kph) $\qquad$ M3 NB merge to A34 Link onto the A34 NB Link and the A33 diverge is 250 m <br> This is below the desirable minimum ( 1 km ) and therefore a departure. |  |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EP.40006 |  |  | Goomety | $\begin{gathered} \text { TD0993 } \\ \text { Para } 1.24 \\ \text { Para } 1.26 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | ${ }_{\text {ssued }}$ | 3101212018 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Scheme | Reterence | Das id | Rev | Depature |  |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\substack{\text { aualitive } \\ \text { Impact } \\ \text { Rac }}}$ | das saus | Expected date for Submission to WebDAS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date em } \\ \text { Pases } \\ \text { Nosed } \\ \text { Neterev } \end{gathered}$ | Departur Oescripipion | Comment | Potential cost Saving by inplemenation ot | Basis of the cost savings, Cost RAG, Qualitative RAG, and Deadline date | (oat Lest | langes at last | Reum sam | (eate | AOP Cerr Pe |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {M }}^{\substack{\text { M3 Junctiong } \\ \text { inpoement }}}$ | EP.140007 |  |  | Geomenty |  | \& | к |  | 310112018 |  |  |  |  | A34 SB Link (Design Speed 100Akph, Mandatory Speed Limit as existing 50mph): To achieve the desirable minimum crest curve a longer length of curve would be required and this would prevent the proposed carriageway from tieing in to the existing alignment on the M3 without moving the M3 underbridge further north and the impacts that would bring to the rest of the alignment. |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{EPP} \cdot 140008$ |  |  | Geoment |  | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ |  | 310112018 |  |  |  | M3 NB onslip to A34 Link (Design Speed 85kph): To minimise the deviation from the existing slip road and due to land constraints, the nose of the diverge (chainage 70) follows a curve. A near straight at least equal in length to the nose length must be provided downstream of the back of the diverge nose, therefore this is a departure. | M3 NB onslip to A34 Link (Design Speed 85kph): To achieve the required straight length would require additional land take. This departure would save the cost of additional land take. |  | ${ }^{55052017}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EP-140009 |  |  | Geomenty |  | * | в |  | 310112018 |  |  | TD9/93 Table 3 The one step below desirable minimum stopping sight distance for a 85 kph design speed is 120 m . | M3 NB onslip to A34 Link (Design Speed 85kph): The stopping sight distance at the horizontal curve (chainage 0 to 549 ) is 90 m . This is below the one step below desirable minimum value (120m) and is therefore a departure. According to IAN 198/17 because this is an improvement to existing carriageway this can be categorised as a relaxation instead of a departure. | M3 NB onslip to A34 Link (Design Speed $85 \mathrm{kph})$ : <br> No cost saving associated with departure |  | 05052017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departures - Option 168 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EP.168.000 |  |  | Geoment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Topge } \\ \text { Topal } \\ \text { Pata } 124 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ |  | 317112018 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M3 Junction9 | DEPP.168.002 |  |  | Geomenty |  | ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | \% |  | 311012018 |  |  | TD27/05 / IAN149 Table 4-1 Hierarchy of reduced cross-sections of widening for D3 and D4 on existing rural motorways. |  |  |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M3 Junctiong | DEP.168.0003 |  |  | Goomenty | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top206 } \\ \text { Para4. } 36 \end{gathered}$ | в | ${ }^{\text {® }}$ |  | 311012018 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TD22/06 Para } 4.36 \\ & \text { For Rural All-Purpose Roads the } \\ & \text { desirable minimum weaving length is } \\ & 1 \mathrm{~km} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 01072016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Departures from Standard Checklist

 M3 J9 Improvements

| Scheme | Reference | Das ID | Rev | ${ }_{\text {Depature }}^{\text {Type }}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \substack{\text { cosata } \\ \text { Raca }} \end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Quailative | das staus | Expected date for Submission to WebDAS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date PM } \\ & \text { Passed to } \\ & \text { NetServ } \end{aligned}$ | Departur Oescripition | Comment | Potential cost Saving by implementation of | Basis of the cost savings, Cost RAG, Qualitative RAG, and Deadline date | Date Lass <br> Updatad | ${ }_{\substack{\text { changes atast } \\ \text { upate }}}^{\substack{\text { at }}}$ | Retur staus |  | ADP Cert Ret |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ep-168-0004 |  |  | Geomety | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { To993 } \\ \text { Ta0e }}}$ | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | п |  | 01022018 |  |  | TD9/93 Table 3 Absolute minimum Sag $K$ value for a 120kph design speed is $k=37$. 120 kph design speed is $k=37$ | A34 NB Link (Design Speed 120kph): The Sag K value of the vertical curve at the merge with the A34 (chainage 599 to 752 ) is $k=26$. This is below the absolute minimum ( $\mathrm{k}=37$ ) and is therefore a departure. |  |  | 050552017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M ${ }_{\text {M }}^{\text {M Junciong }}$ inpoumens | Ep-16-0005 |  |  | Geomery |  | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | \% |  | 02022018 |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|l} \hline \text { TD9/93 Table 3 } \\ \text { One step below desirable minimum Crest } \\ \text { K value for a } 120 \mathrm{kph} \text { design speed is } k= & \text { T } \\ 100 . & \text { a } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A34 NB Link (Design Speed 120kph): The start of the slip road follows the edge of the M3. In order to maintain sufficient crossfall and minimise land used over the A34 SB Link to roundabout and M3 NB onslip (chainage 167 to 582 ). <br> This is below the one step below desirable minimum value ( $k=100$ ) and is there ( $k=100$ ) and is therefore a departure. | A34 NB Link (Design Speed 120kph): To achieve the required Crest K value would require additional land take. This departure would save the cost of the additional land take. |  | ${ }^{05052017}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EEP.168.0006 |  |  | Geometr | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { To993 } \\ \text { Taue }}}$ | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | к | (tabesumited | 03022018 |  |  | TD9/93 Table 3 The one step below desirable minimum stopping sight distance for a 120kph design speed is 215 m . |  |  |  | ${ }_{05052017}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M ${ }_{\text {M }}^{\text {M Juncioiog }}$ inpoumens | EEP.168.0007 | - |  | Geomety | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { To993 } \\ \text { Ta0e3 }}}$ | R | R |  | 04022018 |  |  | TD9/93 Table 3 The one step below desirable minimum stopping sight distance for a 120 kph design speed is 215 m . | A34 NB Link (Design Speed 120kph) order to maintain sufficient crossfall and minimise land acquisition a stopping sight distance of 160 m has been used over the A34 SB Link to roundabout and M3 NB onslip (chainage 167 to 582). <br> This is below the one step below desirable minimum value $(215 \mathrm{~m})$ and is therefore a departure. | A34 NB Link (Design Speed 120kph) $\qquad$ would require additional land take. This departure would save the cost of the additional land take. |  | ${ }_{05052017}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ep.168.0008 |  |  | Geometr | TD9/93 Table 3 | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | к |  | 08022018 |  |  |  | Roundabout to A34 NB Link (Design Speed 85kph): The stopping sight distance at the horizontal curve (chainage 0 to 549 ) is 90 m . This is below the one step below desirable minimum value (120m) and is therefore a departure. According to IAN 198/17 because this is an improvement to existing carriageway this can be categorised as a relaxation instead of a departure. | Roundabout to A34 NB Link (Design Speed 85kph): <br> No cost saving associated with departure |  | 050550017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EP. 168.0009 | - |  | Geomety | TD9/93 Table 3 | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ |  | 09022018 |  |  | TD9/93 Table 3 Required superelevation for a horizontal curvature of 255 m and a 70 kph design speed is $7 \%$. | M3 NB Onslip (Design Speed 70kph): This is below the required value (7\%) and is therefore a departure |  |  | 05055017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| neme | Reter | Das 10 | Rev | ${ }_{\text {depature }}^{\substack{\text { Thpe }}}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{\text { Cose } \\ \text { Impat } \\ \text { RAG }} \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\substack{\text { aualative } \\ \text { mppact } \\ \text { Rac }}}$ | das sta | Expected date for Submission to WebDAS | Actual Date Submitted to WebDAS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date PM } \\ \text { Passed to } \\ \text { NetServ } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Departur Descripition

Comments

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

5amision | nonges atitast |
| :---: |
| upate |

 Departures - Option 16 C


|  |  |  | 010772016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A34 SB Link (Design Speed 100Akph, Mandatory Speed Limit as existing 50m |  | 010772016 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | as existing 50 mph ) <br> No cost saving associated with departure. |  | 010772016 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 010772016 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A34 SB Link (Design Speed 100Akph, <br> Mandatory Speed Limit as existing 50mph): To achieve the desirable minimum crest curve alonger length of curve would be required and this would prevent the proposed carriageway fromtieing in to the existing alignment on the M3 without moving the M3 underbridge further north and the alignment. |  | 010772016 |  |  |  |  |  |





