





## APPENDIX J

ATTENUATION PONDS DRAWING





## APPENDIX K

NN NPS ACCORDANCE

Complies with policy or confidence mitigation removes risk of noncompliance

| Topic | Option6 | Option 7 | Option 8 | Option 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety |  | Concerns on slip road config. \& risk of A46 tailbacks. Significant departures | Concerns on slip road config. \& risk of A46 tailbacks. Significant departures |  |
| Internationally designated sites, SSSI and NNR | Alignment is further from SSSI than existing roads | Indirect impacts on SSSI due to proximity. Loss of screening vegetation unlikely to impact on qualifying feature | Some permanent landtake from SSSI. Loss of screening vegetation unlikely to impact on qualifying feature | Indirect impacts on SSSI due to proximity. Loss of screening vegetation unlikely to impact on qualifying feature |
| Irreplaceable <br> habitats <br>  <br> veteran trees) | Alignment largely through arable farmland. Some loss of trees around HHF. Risk of loss of veteran trees adjacent to River Sowe. | Limited vegetation loss adjacent to SSSI with limited footprint for mitigation measures. No ancient woodland and potential temporary effect on SSSI woodland. | No ancient woodland but mature tree loss adjacent to and in SSSI, with limited footprint for mitigation measures | Limited vegetation loss adjacent to SSSI with limited footprint for mitigation measures. No ancient woodland and potential temporary effect on SSSI woodland. |
| Protection of other habitats and species (Biodiversity) | Vegetation loss and severance of habitats affecting protected species | Vegetation loss along existing highway boundaries. | Vegetation loss along existing highway boundaries \& within SSSI. Loss of main badger sett \& bat roosts in trees \& HHF | Vegetation loss along existing highway boundary. Direct impact on badgers likely requiring new main sett |
| Flood risk | Significant increase in flood risk. Costly mitigation measures with secondary environmental impacts. | Flood modelling shows no flood risk impact on or off site as a result of this option. | Risk of A46 flooding mitigated if bunding east of A46 maintained at 75.0 m AOD | Site is not located in flood zone 2 or 3 and would not result in flood impact. |


| The historic <br> environment |  <br> Garden(GII*) \& HHF setting due to <br> elevated jct. No direct impact. |  | Demolition of Grade Il listed <br> Hungerley Hall Farm | Closer B4082 impacts setting of <br> Hungerley Hall Farmhouse |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Land use: Green Belt | Scheme extents are within Green <br> Belt, but unlikely to be classed as <br> inappropriate development | Scheme extents are within Green Belt, <br> but unlikely to be classed as <br> inappropriate development | Scheme extents are within Green <br> Belt, but unlikely to be classed as <br> inappropriate development | Scheme extents are within Green <br> Belt, but unlikely to be classed as <br> inappropriate development |
| Land use: open space <br> I sports and <br> recreational <br> buildings \& land |  |  |  |  |
| Noise and vibration | B4082 150m from houses west of <br> R.Sowe. 11dB inc at rear of HHF. <br> Many residential \& 2 non-residential <br> properties significantly affected. <br> Disproportionate mitigation. | 3dB inc @ HHF (A46 10mm closer) <br> North end Morrisons estate closer to <br> B4082. Mitigatable. Significant impacts <br> on HHF difficult to mitigate. | North end of M orrisons estate <br> closer to B4082 and <br> A46. Mitigatable | M oderate(~3dB) increase at <br> HHF. Risk of qualifying for noise <br> insulation. Would need mitigation <br> solution agreeing with Historic <br> England \& Local Authority |
| Water quality and <br> resources | Minor changes to culverts crossing <br> Smite Brook. Widening of R.Sowe for <br> flood risk mitigation will need further <br> mitigation. | Scheme requires works to Smite <br> Brook and edge of Coombe Pool <br> SSSI |  |  |

## APPENDIX L

MOUCHEL PCF STAGE 1 OPTIONS ASSESSMENT

## APPENDIX K - Mouchel PCF Stage 1 Options Development (2015-2016)

1.1. In November 2015, an options workshop was held to consider and review a number of junction layout options presented to meet the requirements of upgrading both the Binley and Walsgrave junctions as recommended in Option 4 of the 2014 Route Strategic Options Report. The various options developed and presented at the workshop were mindful of the increase in estimated costs for the junction improvements from the original budget of $£ 52.5$ million to the Stage 0 estimate of £118 million.
1.2. The layout options presented are briefly described below in Table 1. No traffic data was available at the time to verify the suitability or otherwise of the layouts developed. Details of the options can be found in the A46 Options Workshop report produced following the workshop (Report ref no 1068659-R-010, Version A, dated December 2015).

Table 1 - Walsgrave junction options considered at A46 options workshop (19.11.15)

| Layout | A46 Walsgrave junction |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Replacement of the existing Walsgrave junction with a right-hand bend (viewed <br> travelling north) and a fully grade separated junction (two bridge roundabout <br> layout over the existing A46) located approximately 1km to the north of existing. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Replacement of existing Walsgrave roundabout with right hand bend(viewed <br> travelling north), providing remote Left-In Left-Out Slips (LILO) northbound only, <br> 1km to the north of existing. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | As per Option 3 but LILO arrangement located at the existing Walsgrave <br> junction. |
| $\mathbf{4 A}$ | A46 at-grade junction with elevated slip roads and offline all movements <br> roundabout junction located to the west of existing (layout A) |
| $\mathbf{4 B}$ | A46 at-grade junction with elevated slip roads and offline all movements <br> roundabout junction located to the west of existing (layout B) |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Realigned A46 at-grade junction with elevated slip roads and offline all <br> movements roundabout junction |

1.3. Layouts of each option are provided in Attachment D1. The six options identified for Walsgrave junction during the workshop were then evaluated against the following metrics:

- Safety.
- Traffic Throughput.
- Impact on local network.
- Geotechnical Issues.
- Economic Growth.
- Cost.
- Stakeholder Impact.
highways
england

Table 2 - Walsgrave Junction Options Matrix

|  | Option 1 - Atkins Design | Option 2 - At Grade A46 A4082 Stopped Up Northbound 'On' And 'Off' Slips [Page 1] | Option 3 - A46 At Grade With Northbound 'On' And 'Off Slips | Option 4A - A46 At Grade - Elevated Southbound Slip Roads | Option 4B - A46 At Grade - Elevated Southbound Slip Roads | Option 5 - A46 At Grade - Elevated Southbound Slip Roads |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Reduces traffic conflicts due to grade separation. <br> Layout generally complies with DMRB standards. Departure from Standard required for 255 m radius bend. <br> Re-assignment of traffic on local road network may increase accidents | Reduces traffic conflicts due to removal of roundabout. Layout generally complies with DMRB standards. Departure from Standard required for 255 m radius bend. <br> Re-assignment of traffic on local road network may increase accidents | Reduces traffic conflicts due to removal of roundabout. <br> Layout generally complies with DMRB standards. <br> Departure from Standard required for 255 m radius bend. <br> Re-assignment of traffic on local road network may increase accidents | Reduces traffic conflicts on A46. Layout generally complies with DMRB standards. <br> Departure from Standard required for 255 m radius bend. | Reduces traffic conflicts on A46. Layout generally complies with DMRB standards. <br> Departure from Standard required for 255 m radius bend. | Reduces traffic conflicts on A46. Layout generally complies with DMRB standards. |
| Traffic Throughput | Grade separation provides free flow situation. | Grade separation provides free flow situation for A46 | Grade separation provides free flow situation for A46 | Grade separation provides free flow situation for A46 | Grade separation provides free flow situation for A46 | Grade separation provides free flow situation for A46 |
| Impact on local network | Reassignment of traffic away from B4082 will increase journey distance for some users | Limits access to A46 <br> Reassignment of traffic away from B4082 <br> Will increase joumey <br> distance for some users | Limits access to A46. <br> Reassionment of some traffic away from B4082 Will increase journey distance for some users | Maintain current level of service | Maintain current level of service | Maintain current level of service |
| Environment | Lower impact - May take land from an eco site. The link to the option has not been considered. Adjacent to and potential encroachment to SSSI but avoids Listed Buildings. Potential Environmental Statement. | Lower impact - May take land from an eco site. The link to the option has not been considered. Adjacent to and potential encroachment to SSSI but avoids Listed Buildings. Potential Environmental Statement. | Medium impact -Adjacent to and potential encroachment to SSSI. Sliahtly closer to the Listed buildinas and potential realignment of the Smite Brook to accommodate slips. Greater land take from eco site. Moves carriageways closer to residential properties, potential impact from noise and air. Potential Environmental Statement | Medium impact -Adjacent to and potential encroachment to SSSI. Slightly closer to the Listed buildinas and potential realignment of the Smite Brook to accommodate slips. Greater land take from eco site. Moves carriageways closer to residential properties, potential impact from noise and air. Elevated elements may have visual impacts. Potential Environmental Statement. | Medium impact -Adjacent to and potential encroachment to SSSI. Slightly closer to the Listed buildinas and potential realignment of the Smite Brook to accommodate slips. Greater land take from eco site. Moves carriageways closer to residential properties, potential impact from noise and air. Elevated elements may have visual impacts. Potential Environmental Statement. | Higher impact - Adjacent to and potential encroachment to SSSL. Closer to the Listed buldinos and within land with a higher potential for archaeology. Realignment of the Smite Brook to accommodate slips. Greater land take from eco site. Moves carriageways closer to residential properties, potential impact from noise and air. Elevated elements may have visual impacts. Environmental Statement. |
| Geotechnical | Landfill underlies southern section of the existing roundabout junction - excavation and replacement, significant cost in removing material. Borrow Pit underlies northern end of bridge junction uncertain ground conditions possible excavation and replacement. <br> Alluvium deposits underlying proposed earthwork (right hand bend) - excavation and replacement (depending on thickness). | Landfill underlies southern section of the existing roundabout junction - excavation and replacement, significant cost in removing material. Borrow Pit underlies northem end of LILO slips - uncertain ground conditions - possible excavation and replacement. Alluvium deposits underlying proposed earthwork (right hand bend) - excavation and replacement (depending on thickness). | Landfill underlies southern section of the existing roundabout junction - excavation and replacement, significant cost in removing material. Borrow Pit underlies northern end of LILO slips - uncertain around conditions - possible excavation and replacement. <br> Alluvium deposits underlying proposed earthwork (riaht hand bend. NB off slip) - excavation and replacement (depending on thickness). Wide footprint-increased land take required. | Landfill underlies southern section of the existing roundabout junction - excavation and replacement, significant cost in removing material. <br> Alluvium deposits underlying proposed earthwork (right hand bend. NB off slip, SB on slip) - excavation and replacement. Possible piling for on/off SB slip roads. Strengthened earthworks likely to be required between carriageway and slip roads. <br> Wide footprint- increased land take required. <br> Limited space between slip road and A46 for earthworks - likely to require reinforced earth or retaining structure. | Landfill underlies southern section of the existing roundabout junction - excavation and replacement, significant cost in removing material. <br> Alluvium deposits underlying proposed earthwork (right hand bend. NB off slip, SB on slip) - excavation and replacement. Possible piling for on/off SB slip roads. Strengthened earthworks likely to be required between carriageway and slip roads. <br> Wide footprint- increased land take required. <br> Limited space between slip road and A46 for earthworks - likely to require reinforced earth or retaining structure. | Landfill underlies southern section of the existing roundabout junction - excavation and replacement, significant cost in removing material. <br> Borrow Pit underlies northern end of realignment - uncertain ground conditions possible excavation and replacement. Alluvium deposits \& river terrace deposits underlying proposed earthwork (right hand bend) - excavation and replacement (depending on thickness). <br> Strengthened earthworks likely to be required between carriageway and slip roads. <br> Wide footprint - increased land take required-increased cost. |
| Economic Benefit | Positive opens up land for development and possible hospital expansion | Positive opens up land for development and possible hospital expansion | Neutral impact | Neutral impact | Neutral impact | Neutral impact |
| Cost | OME assumed as base line | Lower | Lower | Equivalent | Equivalent | Higher |

1.4. The workshop identified three revised options outlined in Table 3; Options A, B and C. Option C (the conversion of the two existing at-grade junctions to grade separation) was considered as the most appropriate option to provide mitigation to the congestion experienced at the two junctions.
Table 3-Revised A46 Binley + Walsgrave junction options

| Layout | Workshop identified revised options |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Option A - Grade Separation at A46 Binley Junction + Do nothing at Walsgrave <br> junction |
| B | Option B - Grade Separation at A46 Binley Junction + Do minimum at Walsgrave <br> junction (enhanced at-grade roundabout or signal solution) |
| C | Option C - Grade Separation at A46 Binley Junction + Do something at Walsgrave <br> junction (Dumbell Layout - A46 Grade Separated North West of Roundabout) |

## Option A - Do Nothing at Walsgrave

1.5. Option A involved improvement of the A46 Binley Junction (grade separation) and no change to the existing Walsgrave junction. The option entailed grade separation of the existing junction by raising the A46 over the A428 Rugby Road and providing entry and exit slips roads to an at grade gyratory junction. The grade separation would mitigate the need for any signalisation on the gyratory. The proposed speed on the A46 main carriageway would remain at the national speed limit.
1.6. The proposals largely represent the expected layout of the route after the upgraded Binley junction is open to traffic. However, the Binley upgrade proposals were value engineered since this option was assessed, the upgrade proposals for the Binley junction propose to tie the four slip roads into the existing roundabout, and the signals on the roundabout will be retained.
1.7. This option addresses traffic congestion experienced at the Binley Junction through the removal of A46 through traffic, therefore reducing traffic conflicts.

## Option B - Do Minimum at Walsgrave

1.8. Option B proposed grade separation of Binley junction (as per Option A), in addition to a "do-minimum" improvement at the existing Walsgrave junction comprising of an enhanced at-grade roundabout or at-grade signalised solution.
1.9. Re-assignment of traffic on the local network, due to the closure of the Walsgrave roundabout during the construction phase were the main dis-benefits with Option B.

## Option C - Do Something at Walsgrave

1.10. Option $C$ proposed the grade separation of Binley junction (as per Option A and B), and the re-location and grade separation of a Walsgrave junction. This option was the same as the stated preferred option within the 2014 Route Strategic Options Report.
1.11. It was anticipated that the replacement of the existing Walsgrave roundabout and stopping up of the B4082 would result in re-assignment of traffic on the local road network.
1.12. The main disbenefit associated with Option $C$ was the proposed horizontal curvature resulting from the removal of the Walsgrave roundabout and realignment. It was not possible to accommodate a larger radius to facilitate a 120 kph design speed ( 70 mph national speed limit) without encroaching into the area of the Coombe Pool Site of
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Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), and the adjacent vegetated embankment. In addition, the Grade II listed buildings at Hungerley Hall Farm presented a constraint to this option.

## Other Options

1.13. All other options considered were discounted at the A46 Options Workshop in November 2015. At this point the developer Roxhill was promoting proposals to construct a new development on the agricultural land to the east of the A46 and to the north of Coombe Pool. An additional grade separated option was also proposed by Roxhill but it had similar constraints to other options previously considered such as the SSSI and the Grade II listed building.

